

The Secrets of Concurrency

Dr Heinz M. Kabutz

www.javaspecialists.eu



Javaspecialists.eu
java training

Heinz Kabutz

● Brief Biography

- German from Cape Town, now lives in Chania on island of Crete
- The Java Specialists' Newsletter
 - 132 countries
- Java Champion since 2005
- JavaOne Rock Star 2012



Join us here for an advanced Java course!

18-21 June 2013

2.2: The Secrets of Concurrency

- **Writing correct concurrent code can be a real challenge; only *perfect* is good enough**
- **You need to synchronize in the precisely correct places**
 - Too much synchronization and you risk deadlock and contention
 - Too little synchronization and you risk seeing early writes, corrupt data, race conditions and stale local copies of fields
- **In this section, we will look at ten laws that will make it easier for you to write correct thread-safe code.**

2.2: The Secrets of Concurrency

- **The ten laws that will help you write thread-safe code**
 - **Law 1: The Law of the Sabotaged Doorbell**
 - **Law 2: The Law of the Xerox Copier**
 - **Law 3: The Law of the Overstocked Haberdashery**
 - **Law 4: The Law of the Blind Spot**
 - **Law 5: The Law of the Leaked Memo**
 - **Law 6: The Law of the Corrupt Politician**
 - **Law 7: The Law of the Micromanager**
 - **Law 8: The Law of Cretan Driving**
 - **Law 9: The Law of Sudden Riches**
 - **Law 10: The Law of the Uneaten Lutefisk**

1. The Law of the Sabotaged Doorbell

Instead of arbitrarily suppressing interruptions, manage them better.

- * **Removing the batteries from your doorbell to avoid hawkers also shuts out people that you want to have as visitors**

Law 1: The Law of the Sabotaged Doorbell

- **Have you ever seen code like this?**

```
try {  
    Thread.sleep(1000);  
} catch (InterruptedException ex) {  
    // this won't happen here  
}
```

- **We will answer the following questions:**
 - What does InterruptedException mean?
 - How should we handle it?

Shutting Down Threads

- **Shutdown threads when they are inactive**
 - In **WAITING** or **TIMED_WAITING** states:
 - `Thread.sleep()`
 - `BlockingQueue.get()`
 - `Semaphore.acquire()`
 - `wait()`
 - `join()`

Law 1: The Law of the Sabotaged Doorbell

Thread “interrupted” Status

- **You can interrupt a thread with:**
 - `someThread.interrupt()`;
 - **Sets the “interrupted” status to true**
 - **What else?**
 - **If thread is in state `WAITING` or `TIMED_WAITING`, the thread immediately returns by throwing `InterruptedException` and sets “interrupted” status back to `false`**
 - **Else, the thread does nothing else. In this case, `someThread.isInterrupted()` will return `true`**

Law 1: The Law of the Sabotaged Doorbell

How to Handle InterruptedException?

- **Option 1: Simply re-throw InterruptedException**
 - Approach used by `java.util.concurrent`
 - Not always possible if we are overriding a method
- **Option 2: Catch it and return**
 - Our current “interrupted” state should be set to true
 - Add a boolean volatile “running” field as backup mechanism

```
while (running) {  
    // do something  
    try {  
        TimeUnit.SECONDS.sleep(1);  
    } catch (InterruptedException e) {  
        Thread.currentThread().interrupt();  
        break;  
    }  
}
```

Law 1: The Law of the Sabotaged Doorbell

2. The Law of the Xerox Copier

Protect yourself by making copies of objects

*** Never give your originals to anyone, even a bank!**

"Safe as a Bank"

- Our home loan application was lying on the desk the day this bank was trashed by rioters
- *Fortunately, we had only given them copies of our important documents!*



© Greg Manset

Law 2: The Law of the Xerox Copier

Law 2: The Law of the Xerox Copier

- **Immutable objects are always thread safe**
 - No stale values, race conditions or early writes
- **For concurrency, *immutable* means [Goetz'06]**
 - State cannot be modified after construction
 - All the fields are final
 - 'this' reference does not escape during construction

Law 2: The Law of the Xerox Copier

How do we use an Immutable Object?

- **Whenever we want to change it, make a copy**
 - e.g. String '+' operator produces a new String
- **Additional GC expense, but concurrency is easier**

Basic Thread-Safe ArrayList

```
public class ImmutableList<E> implements Iterable<E> {
    private final Object[] elements;

    public ImmutableList() {
        this.elements = new Object[0];
    }

    private ImmutableList(Object[] elements) {
        this.elements = elements;
    }

    public int size() { return elements.length; }

    public ImmutableList<E> add(E o) {
        Object[] new_elements = new Object[elements.length + 1];
        System.arraycopy(elements, 0,
            new_elements, 0, elements.length);
        new_elements[new_elements.length - 1] = o;
        return new ImmutableList<E>(new_elements);
    }
}
```

Law 2: The Law of the Xerox Copier

Thread-Safe Iterator

```
public Iterator<E> iterator() {
    return new Iterator<E>() {
        int pos = 0;

        public boolean hasNext() {
            return pos < elements.length;
        }

        public E next() {
            return (E) elements[pos++];
        }

        public void remove() {
            throw new UnsupportedOperationException();
        }
    };
}
```


Using ImmutableList

- **We use this in a more functional approach:**

```
ImmutableArrayList<String> ial =  
    new ImmutableList<String>();  
ial = ial.add("Heinz").add("Max").add("Kabutz");  
for (Object o : ial) {  
    System.out.println("o = " + o);  
}
```

3. The Law of the Overstocked Haberdashery

Having too many threads is bad for your application. Performance will degrade and debugging will become difficult.

*** Haberdashery: A shop selling sewing wares, e.g. threads and needles.**

Law 3: The Law of the Overstocked Haberdashery

- **Story: Client-side library running on server**
- **We will answer the following questions:**
 - How many threads can you create?
 - What is the limiting factor?
 - How can we create more threads?

Quick Demo

How many *inactive* threads can we create,
before the JVM crashes?



Some JVMs Core Dump

```
Exception in thread "main" java.lang.OutOfMemoryError: unable
to create new native thread
  at java.lang.Thread.start0(Native Method)
  at java.lang.Thread.start(Thread.java:597)
  at ThreadCreationTest$1.<init>(ThreadCreationTest:8)
  at ThreadCreationTest.main(ThreadCreationTest.java:7)
#
# An unexpected error has been detected by Java Runtime
  Environment:
#
# Internal Error (455843455054494F4E530E4350500134) #
# Java VM: Java HotSpot(TM) Client VM (1.6.0_01-b06)
# An error report file with more information is saved as
  hs_err_pid22142.log
#
Aborted (core dumped)
```

Law 3: The Law of the Overstocked Haberdashery

How to Create More Threads?

- **We created about 2000 threads on Mac OS X**
 - Had to kill with -9
- **Stack size can cause OutOfMemoryError if too large**

Law 3: The Law of the Overstocked Haberdashery

Causing Thread Dumps

- **The jstack tool dumps threads of process**
 - Similar to CTRL+Break (Windows) or CTRL+\ (Unix)
- **For thread dump JSP page**
 - <http://javaspecialists.eu/archive/Issue132.html>
 - Sorted threads allow you to diff between calls

How Many Threads is Healthy?

- **Additional threads should improve performance**
- **Not too many active threads**
 - ± 4 active per core
- **Inactive threads**
 - Number is architecture specific
 - Consume memory
 - Can cause sudden death of the JVM
 - What if a few thousand threads suddenly become active?

Law 3: The Law of the Overstocked Haberdashery

Traffic Calming

- **Thread pooling good way to control number**
- **Use new ExecutorService**
 - Fixed Thread Pool
- **For small tasks, thread pools can be faster**
 - Not main consideration
- **See <http://www.javaspecialists.eu/archive/Issue149.html>**

Law 3: The Law of the Overstocked Haberdashery

4. The Law of the Blind Spot

It is not always possible to see what other threads (cars) are doing with shared data (road)

Law 4: The Law of the Blind Spot

- **Java Memory Model allows thread to keep local copy of fields**
- **Your thread might not see another thread's changes**
- **Usually happens when you try to avoid synchronization**

Law 4: The Law of the Blind Spot

Calling shutdown() might have no effect

```
public class Runner {
    private boolean running = true;
    public void doJob() {
        while(running) {
            // do something
        }
    }
    public void shutdown() {
        running = false;
    }
}
```

Law 4: The Law of the Blind Spot

Why?

- **Thread1 calls doJob() and makes a local copy of running**
- **Thread2 calls shutdown() and modifies the value of field running**
- **Thread1 does not see the changed value of running and continues reading the local stale value**

Law 4: The Law of the Blind Spot

Making Field Changes Visible

- **Three ways of preventing this**
 - **Make field volatile**
 - **Make field final puts a “freeze” on value**
 - **Make read and writes to field synchronized**
 - **Also includes new locks**

Better MyThread

```
public class Runner {  
    private volatile boolean running = true;  
    public void doJob() {  
        while(running) {  
            // do something  
        }  
    }  
    public void shutdown() {  
        running = false;  
    }  
}
```

5. The Law of the Leaked Memo

The JVM is allowed to reorder your statements resulting in seemingly impossible states (seen from the outside)

*** Memo about hostile takeover bid left lying in photocopy machine**

Law 5: The Law of the Leaked Memo

- If two threads call `f()` and `g()`, what are the possible values of `a` and `b` ?

```
public class EarlyWrites {  
    private int x;  
    private int y;  
    public void f() {  
        int a = x;  
        y = 3;  
    }  
    public void g() {  
        int b = y;  
        x = 4;  
    }  
}
```

Early writes can result
in: `a=4, b=3`

The order of Things

- **Java Memory Model allows reordering of statements**
- **Includes writing of fields**
- **To the writing thread, statements appear in order**

How to Prevent This?

- **JVM is not allowed to move writes out of synchronized block**
 - Allowed to move statements into a synchronized block
- **Keyword volatile prevents early writes**
 - From the Java Memory Model:
 - There is a happens-before edge from a write to a volatile variable v to all subsequent reads of v by any thread (where subsequent is defined according to the synchronization order)

How to Cause This Error (Victor Grazi)

- **Actually this is very easy to produce. Here are the steps:**
 - Write some production code that depends on correct ordering
 - Test it thoroughly
 - (Don't worry all tests will pass)
 - Release to production
 - Wait for the next corporate change freeze
 - Check your inbox - you will have 500 emails from irate users who were bitten by the bug

6. The Law of the Corrupt Politician

In the absence of proper controls, corruption is unavoidable.

* **Lord Acton: *Power tends to corrupt. Absolute power corrupts absolutely.***

Law 6: The Law of the Corrupt Politician

- Without controls, the best code can go bad

```
public class BankAccount {  
    private int balance;  
    public BankAccount(int balance) {  
        this.balance = balance;  
    }  
    public void deposit(int amount) {  
        balance += amount;  
    }  
    public void withdraw(int amount) {  
        deposit(-amount);  
    }  
    public int getBalance() { return balance; }  
}
```

What happens?

- **The += operation is not atomic**
- **Thread 1**
 - Reads balance = 1000
 - Locally adds 100 = 1100
 - Before the balance written, Thread 1 is swapped out
- **Thread 2**
 - Reads balance=1000
 - Locally subtracts 100 = 900
 - Writes 900 to the balance field
- **Thread 1**
 - Writes 1100 to the balance field

Law 6: The Law of the Corrupt Politician

Solutions

● Pre Java 5

– synchronized

• But avoid using “this” as a monitor

• Rather use a private final object field as a lock

● Java 5 and 6

– Lock, ReadWriteLock

– AtomicInteger – dealt with in The Law of the Micromanager

Law 6: The Law of the Corrupt Politician

Pre-Java 5

```
public class BankAccount {
    private int balance;
    private final Object lock = new Object();

    public BankAccount(int balance) {
        this.balance = balance;
    }

    public void deposit(int amount) {
        synchronized(lock) { balance += amount; }
    }

    public void withdraw(int amount) {
        deposit(-amount);
    }

    public int getBalance() {
        synchronized(lock) { return balance; }
    }
}
```

Law 6: The Law of the Corrupt Politician

ReentrantLocks

- **Basic monitors cannot be interrupted and will never give up trying to get locked**
 - The Law of the Uneaten Lutefisk
- **Java 5 Locks can be interrupted or time out after some time**
- **Remember to unlock in a finally block**

Law 6: The Law of the Corrupt Politician

```
private final Lock lock =
    new ReentrantLock();

public void deposit(int amount) {
    lock.lock();
    try {
        balance += amount;
    } finally {
        lock.unlock();
    }
}

public int getBalance() {
    lock.lock();
    try {
        return balance;
    } finally {
        lock.unlock();
    }
}
```

Law 6: The Law of the Corrupt Politician

ReadWriteLocks

- **Can distinguish read and write locks**
- **Use ReentrantReadWriteLock**
- **Then lock either the write or the read action**
 - `lock.writeLock().lock();`
 - `lock.writeLock().unlock();`
- **Careful: Starvation can happen!**

Law 6: The Law of the Corrupt Politician

```
private final ReadWriteLock lock =  
    new ReentrantReadWriteLock();  
  
public void deposit(int amount) {  
    lock.writeLock().lock();  
    try {  
        balance += amount;  
    } finally {  
        lock.writeLock().unlock();  
    }  
}  
  
public int getBalance() {  
    lock.readLock().lock();  
    try {  
        return balance;  
    } finally {  
        lock.readLock().unlock();  
    }  
}
```

Law 6: The Law of the Corrupt Politician

7. The Law of the Micromanager

Even in life, it wastes effort and frustrates the other *threads*.

* *mi·cro·man·age*: to manage or control with excessive attention to minor details.

Law 7: The Law of the Micromanager

- **Thread contention is difficult to spot**
- **Performance does not scale**
- **None of the usual suspects**
 - CPU
 - Disk
 - Network
 - Garbage collection
- **Points to thread contention**

Real Example – *Don't Do This!*

- **“How to add contention 101”**

- `String WRITE_LOCK_OBJECT =
"WRITE_LOCK_OBJECT";`

- **Later on in the class**

- `synchronized(WRITE_LOCK_OBJECT) { ... }`

- **Constant Strings are flyweights!**

- Multiple parts of code locking on one object
- Can also cause deadlocks and livelocks

AtomicInteger

- Thread safe without explicit locking
- Tries to update the value repeatedly until success
 - `AtomicInteger.equals()` is not overridden

```
public final int addAndGet(int delta) {  
    for (;;) {  
        int current = get();  
        int next = current + delta;  
        if (compareAndSet(current, next))  
            return next;  
    }  
}
```

Law 7: The Law of the Micromanager

```
import java.util.concurrent.atomic.AtomicInteger;

public class BankAccount {
    private final AtomicInteger balance =
        new AtomicInteger();

    public BankAccount(int balance) {
        this.balance.set(balance);
    }

    public void deposit(int amount) {
        balance.addAndGet(amount);
    }

    public void withdraw(int amount) {
        deposit(-amount);
    }

    public int getBalance() {
        return balance.intValue();
    }
}
```

Law 7: The Law of the Micromanager

8. The Law of Cretan Driving

The JVM does not enforce all the rules.
Your code is probably wrong, even if it works.

*** Don't *stop* at a stop sign if
you treasure your car!**







Law 8: The Law of Cretan Driving

- **Learn the JVM Rules !**
- **Example from JSR 133 – Java Memory Model**
 - **VM implementers are encouraged to avoid splitting their 64-bit values where possible. Programmers are encouraged to declare shared 64-bit values as volatile or synchronize their programs correctly to avoid this.**

JSR 133 allows this – NOT a Bug

- Method `set()` called by two threads with
 - `0x12345678ABCD0000L`
 - `0x1111111111111111L`

```
public class LongFields {  
    private long value;  
    public void set(long v) { value = v; }  
    public long get()      { return value; }  
}
```

- Besides obvious answers, “value” could now also be
 - `0x11111111ABCD0000L` or `0x1234567811111111L`

Java Virtual Machine Specification

- **Gives great freedom to JVM writers**
- **Makes it difficult to write 100% correct Java**
 - It might work on all JVMs to date, but that does not mean it is correct!
- **Theory vs Practice clash**

Synchronize at the Right Places

- **Too much synchronization causes contention**
 - As you increase CPUs, performance does not improve
 - The Law of the Micromanager
- **Lack of synchronization leads to corrupt data**
 - The Law of the Corrupt Politician
- **Fields might be written early**
 - The Law of the Leaked Memo
- **Changes to shared fields might not be visible**
 - The Law of the Blind Spot

Law 8: The Law of Cretan Driving

9. The Law of Sudden Riches

Additional resources (faster CPU, disk or network, more memory) for seemingly stable system can make it unstable.

*** Sudden inheritance or lottery win ...**

Law 9: The Law of Sudden Riches

- **Better hardware can break system**
 - **Old system: Dual processor**
 - **New system: Dual core, dual processor**

Faster Hardware

- **Latent defects show up more quickly**
 - Instead of once a year, now once a week
- **Faster hardware often coincides with higher utilization by customers**
 - More contention
- **E.g. DOM tree becomes corrupted**
 - Detected problem by synchronizing all subsystem access
 - Fixed by copying the nodes whenever they were read

10. The Law of the Uneaten Lutefisk

A deadlock in Java can only be resolved by restarting the Java Virtual Machine.

- * Imagine a Viking father insisting that his stubborn child eat its lutefisk before going to bed

Law 10: The Law of the Uneaten Lutefisk

- **Part of program stops responding**
- **GUI does not repaint**
 - Under Swing
- **Users cannot log in anymore**
 - Could also be The Law of the Corrupt Politician
- **Two threads want what the other has**
 - And are not willing to part with what they already have

Using Multiple Locks

```
public class HappyLocker {
    private final Object lock = new Object();
    public synchronized void f() {
        synchronized(lock) {
            // do something ...
        }
    }
    public void g() {
        synchronized(lock) {
            f();
        }
    }
}
```

Law 10: The Law of the Uneaten Lutefisk

Finding the Deadlock

- **Pressing CTRL+Break or CTRL+\ or use jstack**

Full thread dump:

Found one Java-level deadlock:

=====

"g()":

waiting to lock monitor 0x0023e274 (object 0x22ac5808, a
HappyLocker),
which is held by "f()"

"f()":

waiting to lock monitor 0x0023e294 (object 0x22ac5818, a
java.lang.Object),
which is held by "g()"

Law 10: The Law of the Uneaten Lutefisk

Deadlock Means You Are Dead ! ! !

- **Deadlock can be found with jconsole**
- **However, there is no way to resolve it**
- **Better to automatically raise critical error**
 - **Newsletter 130 – Deadlock Detection with new Lock**
 - **<http://www.javaspecialists.eu/archive/Issue130.html>**

Conclusion

- **Threading is a lot easier when you know the rules**
- **Tons of free articles on JavaSpecialists.EU**
 - <http://www.javaspecialists.eu/archive>
- **Advanced Java Courses available**
 - <http://www.javaspecialists.eu/courses>

Heinz Kabutz (heinz@kabutz.net)

- **Full presentation and more detail available on**
 - **kabutz.net/talks/confess13/secrets**
 - **Take a pen and write that down whilst we take questions**

The Secrets of Concurrency

heinz@kabutz.net

kabutz.net/talks/confess13/secrets



Javaspecialists.eu
java training